

**F. EXAMPLE PROJECTS WHICH BEST ILLUSTRATE PROPOSED TEAM'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THIS CONTRACT**

*(Present as many projects as requested by the agency, or 10 projects, if not specified. Complete one section F for each project.)*

20. EXAMPLE PROJECT KEY NUMBER

**8**

21. TITLE AND LOCATION *(City and State)*

**Military Entrance Processing Station Standard Design**

22. YEAR COMPLETED

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES  
**2002**

CONSTRUCTION *(if applicable)*  
**N/A**

23. PROJECT OWNER'S INFORMATION

a. PROJECT OWNER  
**USMEPCOM**

b. POINT OF CONTACT NAME  
**Mr. Andrew Minicz**

c. POINT OF CONTACT TELEPHONE NUMBER  
**847-688-3681**


24. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT AND RELEVANCE TO THIS CONTRACT *(Include scope, size and cost)*

Knight Architects' Design for Military Entrance Processing Station (MEPS) is a standard design for the USMEPCOM. This effort was accomplished under a multiple task order IDIQ for the US Army Corps of Engineers. These facilities will be built on military installations throughout the United States. The MEPS Facility functions as a testing and evaluation facility to determine an applicant's suitability for, and placement within the various branches of military service. The major areas of a MEPS facility include a Control Counter, Counselor, Operations, Testing, Medical, Command, and a common area. The resulting three-wing design is organized around the Control Counter and applicant waiting room. Knight Architects worked with the Norfolk District to implement a MEPS Facility based on this standard design. Knight Architects is currently renovating a 100 year old building in Massachusetts to provide a downtown Boston MEPS Facility. This Facility must meet a LEED silver rating.

Size: Varies from 15,500 SF to 32,500 SF  
Cost: Varies from \$3.1-6.7M.

**Project Relevance:** This Standard Design project as well as the MEPS projects at Ft. Lee, VA and in Boston, MA shows our experience in the following areas;

- Design of new and rehabilitation of existing facilities, also, of various types and sizes similar to the facilities assigned to Louisville District CoS;
- Analysis and implementation of the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED);
- Use of automated design systems such as M-CACES, CADD, SPECSINTACT, and Dr Checks;
- Development of standard designs, practices, and processing for repetitive facilities.



25. FIRMS FROM SECTION C INVOLVED WITH THIS PROJECT

	(1) Firm Name	(2) Firm Location (City and State)	(3) Role
a.	Knight Architects, Inc.	Atlanta, GA	PM, Architecture, Interior Design
b.	EDT, Inc.	Marietta, GA	Mechanical Electrical and Plumbing Engineering